

NAYS—183

Adams Frankel (FL)
 Aguilar Fudge
 Ashford Gabbard
 Bass Gallego
 Beatty Garamendi
 Becerra Graham
 Bera Grayson
 Beyer Green, Al
 Bishop (GA) Green, Gene
 Blumenauer Grijalva
 Bonamici Gutiérrez
 Boyle, Brendan Hahn
 F. Hastings
 Brady (PA) Heck (WA)
 Brown (FL) Higgins
 Brownley (CA) Himes
 Bustos Hinojosa
 Butterfield Honda
 Capps Hoyer
 Capuano Huffman
 Cárdenas Israel
 Carney Jackson Lee
 Carson (IN) Jeffries
 Cartwright Johnson (GA)
 Castor (FL) Johnson, E. B.
 Castro (TX) Kaptur
 Chu, Judy Keating
 Cicilline Kelly (IL)
 Clark (MA) Kennedy
 Clarke (NY) Kildee
 Clay Kilmer
 Cleaver Kind
 Clyburn Kirkpatrick
 Cohen Kuster
 Connolly Langevin
 Conyers Larsen (WA)
 Cooper Larson (CT)
 Costa Lawrence
 Courtney Lee
 Crowley Levin
 Cuellar Lewis
 Cummings Lieu, Ted
 Davis (CA) Lipinski
 Davis, Danny Loeb sack
 DeFazio Lofgren
 DeGette Lowenthal
 Delaney Lowey
 DeLauro Lujan Grisham
 DelBene (NM)
 DeSaulnier Luján, Ben Ray
 Deutch (NM)
 Dingell Lynch
 Doggett Maloney,
 Doyle, Michael Carolyn
 F. Maloney, Sean
 Duckworth Matsui
 Edwards McCollum
 Ellison McDermott
 Engel McGovern
 Eshoo McNerney
 Esty Meng
 Farr Moore
 Fattah Moulton
 Foster Murphy (FL)

NOT VOTING—9

Ellmers (NC)
 Gohmert
 Grothman

□ 1444

So the previous question was ordered.
 The result of the vote was announced
 as above recorded.

Stated against:

Ms. SINEMA. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 597 I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “no.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. POLIS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 243, noes 183, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 598]

AYES—243

Abraham Guthrie
 Aderholt Hanna
 Allen Hardy
 Amodei Harper
 Babin Harris
 Barletta Hartzler
 Barr Heck (NV)
 Barton Hensarling
 Benishek Herrera Beutler
 Bilirakis Hice, Jody B.
 Bishop (MI) Hill
 Bishop (UT) Holding
 Black Hudson
 Pocan Huelskamp
 Polis Huizenga (MI)
 Bost Hultgren
 Bustany Hunter
 Brady (TX) Hurd (TX)
 Brat Hurt (VA)
 Issa
 Jenkins (KS) Jenkins (KS)
 Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH)
 Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam
 Jolly
 Jones
 Jordan
 Joyce
 Katko
 Kelly (MS)
 Kelly (PA)
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kinzinger (IL)
 Kline
 Knight
 Labrador
 LaHood
 LaMalfa
 Lamborn
 Lance
 Costello (PA)
 Cramer
 Crawford
 Crenshaw
 Culberson
 Curbelo (FL)
 Davis, Rodney
 Denham
 Dent
 DeSantis
 DesJarlais
 Diaz-Balart
 Dold
 Donovan
 Duffy
 Duncan (SC)
 Duncan (TN)
 Emmer (MN)
 Farenthold
 Fincher
 Fitzpatrick
 Fleischmann
 Fleming
 Flores
 Forbes
 Fortenberry
 Foy
 Franks (AZ)
 Frelinghuysen
 Garrett
 Gibbs
 Gibson
 Goodlatte
 Gosar
 Gowdy
 Granger
 Graves (GA)
 Graves (LA)
 Graves (MO)
 Griffith
 Guinta

NOES—183

Boyle, Brendan
 F.
 Brady (PA)
 Brown (FL)
 Brownley (CA)
 Bass
 Beatty
 Becerra
 Bera
 Beyer
 Bishop (GA)
 Blumenauer
 Bonamici

Conyers
 Costa
 Courtney
 Crowley
 Cuellar
 Cummings
 Davis (CA)
 Davis, Danny
 DeFazio
 DeGette
 Delaney
 DeLauro
 DelBene
 DeSaulnier
 Deutch
 Dingell
 Doggett
 Doyle, Michael
 F.
 Duckworth
 Edwards
 Ellison
 Engel
 Eshoo
 Esty
 Farr
 Fattah
 Foster
 Frankel (FL)
 Fudge
 Gabbard
 Gallego
 Garamendi
 Graham
 Grayson
 Green, Al
 Green, Gene
 Grijalva
 Gutiérrez
 Hahn
 Hastings
 Higgins
 Himes
 Hinojosa
 Honda
 Hoyer
 Huffman
 Israel
 Jackson Lee
 Jeffries
 Johnson (GA)

Johnson, E. B.
 Kaptur
 Keating
 Kelly (IL)
 Kennedy
 Kildee
 Kilmer
 Kind
 Kirkpatrick
 Kuster
 Langevin
 Larsen (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Lawrence
 Lee
 Levin
 Lewis
 Lieu, Ted
 Lipinski
 Loeb sack
 Lofgren
 Lowenthal
 Lowey
 Lujan Grisham
 (NM)
 Luján, Ben Ray
 (NM)
 Lynch
 Maloney,
 Carolyn
 Maloney, Sean
 Matsui
 McCollum
 McDermott
 McGovern
 McNerney
 Meng
 Moore
 Moulton
 Murphy (FL)
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal
 Nolan
 Norcross
 O'Rourke
 Pallone
 Pascrell
 Payne
 Pelosi
 Perlmutter
 Peters

NOT VOTING—7

Ellmers (NC)
 Gohmert
 Grothman

□ 1451

So the resolution was agreed to.
 The result of the vote was announced
 as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION TO CONSIDER
 AMENDMENT NO. 23 AS THOUGH
 PRINTED IMMEDIATELY FOL-
 LOWING AMENDMENT NO. 9 IN
 PART B OF HOUSE REPORT 114-
 326

Mr. WOODALL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during further consideration of the Senate amendments to H.R. 22 pursuant to House Resolution 512, amendment No. 23 printed in part B of House Report 114-326 may be considered as though printed immediately following amendment No. 9 in part B of such report.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. LUMMIS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

HIRE MORE HEROES ACT OF 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 512 and rule

XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill, H.R. 22.

Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CONAWAY) kindly take the chair.

□ 1453

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 22) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exempt employees with health coverage under TRICARE or the Veterans Administration from being taken into account for purposes of determining the employers to which the employer mandate applies under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, with Mr. CONAWAY (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114-32 was pending.

Pursuant to House Resolution 512, no further amendment to that amendment shall be in order except those printed in part A of House Report 114-326 and amendments en bloc described in subsection (c) of that resolution.

Each further amendment printed in part A of House Report 114-326 shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, may be withdrawn by the proponent at any time before action thereon, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

It shall be in order at any time for the chair of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure or his designee to offer amendments en bloc consisting of amendments printed in part A of House Report 114-326 not earlier disposed of. Such amendments en bloc shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 20 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking member of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure or their designees, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

No further amendment to the Senate amendment, as amended, shall be in order except those printed in part B of House Report 114-326. Each such further amendment shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, except that amendment No. 23 printed in part B of the report may be considered as though immediately following amendment No. 9 in part B of the report. Each such further amendment may be offered only by a Member des-

ignated in the report, shall be considered read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, may be withdrawn by the proponent at any time before action thereon, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HARRIS) having assumed the chair, Mr. CONAWAY, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 22) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exempt employees with health coverage under TRICARE or the Veterans Administration from being taken into account for purposes of determining the employers to which the employer mandate applies under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, had come to no resolution thereon.

□ 1500

PERMISSION TO CONSIDER AMENDMENT NO. 1 PRINTED IN PART A OF HOUSE REPORT 114-326 OUT OF SEQUENCE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that, during further consideration of the Senate amendments to H.R. 22, pursuant to House Resolution 512, amendment No. 1, printed in part A of House Report 114-326, may be considered out of sequence.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CONAWAY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

HIRE MORE HEROES ACT OF 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 512 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill, H.R. 22.

Will the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. PALAZZO) kindly take the chair.

□ 1504

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 22) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exempt employees with health coverage under TRICARE or the Veterans Administration from being taken into account for purposes of determining the employers to which the employer mandate applies under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, with Mr. PALAZZO (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114-32 was pending.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, amendment No. 1, printed in part A of House Report 114-326, may be considered out of sequence.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. RYAN OF OHIO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 2 printed in part A of House Report 114-326.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 56, line 8, after "diesel retrofits" insert "or alternative fuel vehicles".

Page 56, line 9, insert "or indirect" after "direct".

Page 56, line 14, insert "or indirectly" after "directly".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 512, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. RYAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. Mr. Chairman, my amendment is cosponsored by Congresswoman NAPOLITANO and is endorsed by the Natural Gas Vehicles for America, the Electric Drive Transportation Association, and the National Propane Gas Association.

The amendment addresses one specific provision in the bill, section 1109, which modifies how Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality, CMAQ, funds can be used in PM2.5 nonattainment and maintenance areas. "PM" stands for "particulate matter."

The purpose of the CMAQ Program is to fund transportation projects or programs that will contribute to the attainment or maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. All projects and programs that are eligible for CMAQ funds must come from a conforming Federal or State transportation plan. The program is designed to allow States to identify the right solution for their air quality challenges and utilize CMAQ funds to implement them.

Without the Ryan-Napolitano amendment, the language in section 1109 may restrict States' discretion in identifying the most cost-effective emissions reduction technologies and effectively limit their options to only diesel retrofits. Specifically, the priority consideration and use of funding provisions for the section seemingly restrict local authorities' ability to consider other alternative vehicle technologies that can be adopted to meet the goals of this section.

Other technologies, such as natural gas, propane, or electric vehicles, also reduce PM2.5 and provide other air quality benefits. In my State of Ohio and the chairman's State of Pennsylvania, being two of those States, they